IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O.O.C.J.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1963 OF 2005

IN

SUIT NO.1811 OF 2005

...

Raymond Limited ...Plaintiff

v/s.

Inderchand Nahar, proprietor of
M/s.Maruti ...Defendant

...

Mr.Ravi Kadam Advocate General with Mr.Salal Shah i/b Rajani Associates for the Plaintiff.

Ms.Deepa Kamat i/b Pandya & Co. for the Defendant.

...

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH, J.

DATED: 15TH DECEMBER,2006

P.C.:

In view of the order passed in the suit, motion does not survive for consideration. However, the Plaintiff shall be entitled to take out fresh motion, in case the undertaking as per the statement made by the advocate for the Defendant recorded in the suit is not filed.

...

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O.O.C.J.

SUIT NO.1811 OF 2005

...

Raymond Limited ...Plaintiff

v/s.

Inderchand Nahar, proprietor of
M/s.Maruti ...Defendant

...

Mr.Ravi Kadam Advocate General with Mr.Salal Shah i/b Rajani Associates for the Plaintiff.

Ms.Deepa Kamat i/b Pandya & Co. for the Defendant.

...

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH, J.

DATED: 15TH DECEMBER,2006

P.C.:

The learned Counsel appearing for the Defendant states that the Defendant has already discontinued the use of the disputed trade-mark and trade-name of the Plaintiff. It is further stated that the Defendant undertakes not to use the said trade-mark and trade-name any time in future also.

The learned Counsel for the Defendant states that the Defendant will file an undertaking to that effect within a period of four weeks from today.

. Statement is accepted.

. In case the Defendant files an undertaking as per the statement, the suit will stand disposed of. In that event the Plaintiff will be entitled to refund of court fees as per rules.

...